$ent^{10}$  to 1 and successive cleavage of a vinyl carbon-oxygen bond could occur to generate an alkenyl radical intermediate **3.** This transient intermediate might then abstract a hydrogen atom from the butyl group of the resulting organocopper species, giving the product **2** along with 1-butene."

In summary, diethyl *(2)* - 1 - [ **(diethoxyphosphinyl)oxy]** - **F-1-alkene-1-phosphonates 1,** easily prepared from F-alkanoic acid chlorides and diethyl phosphite, undergo reduction efficiently with lithium dibutylcuprate $6$  to give good yields of the corresponding  $(Z)$ -1-hydryl- $F$ -1-alkene-1-phosphonates **2,** which have been shown to be good precursors for synthesizing a variety of organofluorine  $compounds<sup>4d-g</sup>$ 

## **Experimental Section**

Infrared spectra (IR) were taken on a Shimadzu IR-400 infrared spectrometer using a polystyrene **film** for calibration. 'H NMR spectra were recorded with a Varian EM-390 or a JEOL PMX-Gosi spectrometer for solutions in carbon tetrachloride  $(CCl<sub>4</sub>)$  with tetramethylsilane (Me4Si) as an internal standard. A Varian EM-390 spectrometer or a JEOL FX-9OQ computer-controlled spectrometer was used to measure  $^{19}$ F NMR spectra in CCl<sub>4</sub> or  $chloroform-d$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) with internal trichlorofluoromethane (CFCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra were obtained on a JEOL FX-90Q computer-controlled spectrometer in CDCl<sub>3</sub> with 85% phosphoric acid **as an** external reference. Mass spectra were determined with a Hitachi RMS-4 mass spectrometer operating at an ionization potential of 70 eV.

Materials. All chemicals were of reagent grade and used without further purification. Solvents were distilled (or vacuum-distilled) through a 25-cm Vigreux column and, if necessary, were purified in the conventional manner prior to **use.** F-Alkanoic acid chlorides were prepared by the literature method.12 The starting fluoroalkenephosphonates 1 were obtained in 65-80 % yields according to our recently reported method. $5$ 

General Procedure for the Reaction **of** (2)-1-[(Dieth**oxyphosphinyl)oxy]-F-1-alkene-1-phosphonate** 1 with Lithium Dibutylcuprate<sup>6</sup> Reagent. In a four-necked flask fitted with a thermometer, a mechanical stirrer, an inlet tube for nitrogen, and a rubber septum were placed cuprous iodide (23.8 g, 125.0 mmol), anhydrous TMEDA (14.5 g, 125.0 mmol), and 250 mL of dry THF. This suspension was cooled to  $-78$  °C by immersing in a dry ice-methanol bath, and butyllithium (147 mL, 220.0 mmol) in hexane (1.5 M) was gradually added to it by use of a syringe. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at  $-78$  °C, a solution of 1 (50.0 mmol) in 25 mL of dry THF was added to the resulting dark-brown solution at such a rate that the reaction temperature did not rise above  $-60$  °C. The whole mixture was stirred for 15 **min** at -78 "C. To this reaction mixture, which had been brought to -50 to -40 °C, was added successively 10 mL of water and 200 mL of a saturated aqueous solution of ammonium chloride. After being stirred for a while at room temperature, the mixture was subjected to extraction with ether (4 **X** 100 mL). The ethereal extracts were washed three times with water, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residual oil was distilled in vacuo or chromatographed on silica gel (Wako gel C-200) by using ether as an eluent to give analytically pure product 2.

Diethyl **(2)-1-hydryl-F-1-propene-1-phosphonate** (2a): 65% yield; bp 60 "C (17 mmHg); IR (film) 2950 (m), 1700 (m), 1485 (w), 1450 (m), 1400 (m), 1365 (s), 1250 (s), 1215 (s), 1165 (s), 1105 (m), 1060 (s), 1030 **(vs),** 975 **(e),** 830 (m) cm-'; 'H NMR  $(\text{CCL}_4)$   $\delta$  1.37 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 6 H), 4.10 (dq, *J* = 7.2 and 7.2 Hz, 4 H), 5.83 (dd, *J* = 40.0 and 2.9 Hz, 1 H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CC1<sub>4</sub>)  $\delta$  -73.9  $(d, J = 9.9 \text{ Hz}, 3 \text{ F}), -108.8 \text{ (ddq, } J = 40.0, 9.9 \text{ and } 2.8 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ F});$  $31P$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.54; mass spectrum,  $m/e$  (relative intensity) 249  $(M^+ - 1, 1.9)$ , 195 (100). Anal. Calcd for  $C_7H_{11}F_4O_3P$ : C, 33.61; H, 4.43; F, 30.38. Found: C, 33.83; H, 4.59; F, 30.04.

Diethyl (Z)-1-hydryl-F-1-butene-1-phosphonate (2b): 81% yield; bp 65 "C (17 mmHg); IR (film) 2980 (m), 2930 (m), 1685 (s), 1475 (w), 1440 (m), 1390 (m), 1365 (m), 1340 (s), 1325 (s), 1260 **(SI,** 1205 (vs), 1165 (s), 1090 (s), 1040 (vs), 970 (s), 825 (m), 715 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CCl<sub>4</sub>)  $\delta$  1.37 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 6 H), 4.10 (dq,  $J = 7.4$  and 7.4 Hz, 4 H), 5.89 (dd,  $J = 38.9$  and 2.7 Hz, 1 H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CCl<sub>4</sub>) δ -84.3 (dt, *J* = 7.0 and 2.1 Hz, 3 F), -104.9 (ddtq, *J* = 38.9, 7.0, 12.4, and 2.8 Hz, 1 F), -121.8 (dm, *J* = 12.4 Hz, 2 F); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.07; mass spectrum,  $m/e$  (relative intensity) 299 (M<sup>+</sup> - 1, 1.6), 245 (100). Anal. Calcd for  $C_8H_{11}F_6O_3P$ : C, 32.01; H, 3.69; F, 37.98. Found: C, 32.22; H, 3.86; F, 37.77.

Diethyl **(2)-1-hydryl-F-1-octenel-phosphonate** (2c): 62% yield; IR (film) 3000 (m), 2950 (m), 1690 (m), 1485 (w), 1450 (m), 1400 (m), 1375 (m), 1345 (m), 1250 (vs), 1205 (vs), 1150 **(s),** 1100 (s), 1030 (s), 980 (s), 835 (m), 815 (m), 740 (m), 720 **(8)** cm-'; 'H NMR (CCl<sub>4</sub>)  $\delta$  1.38 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 6 H), 4.13 (dq<sub>1</sub> $J = 7.5$  and 7.5 Hz, 4 H), 5.91 (dd,  $J = 37.9$  and 3.0 Hz, 1 H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CCl<sub>4</sub>)  $\delta$  -81.6 (br s, 3 F), -103.5 (dm,  $J = 37.9$  Hz, 1 F), -118.3 (dt,  $J = 12.7$  and 12.7 Hz, 2 F), -121.2 to -124.2 (m, 6 F), -125.0 (m, 2 F); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.11. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>11</sub>F<sub>14</sub>O<sub>3</sub>P: C, 28.82; H, 2.22; F, 53.18. Found: C, 28.63; H, 2.36; F, 52.92.

Diethyl  $(Z)$ -1-hydryl-F-1-decene-1-phosphonate  $(2d)$ :  $80\%$ yield; IR (film) 3000 (m), 2950 (m), 1695 (m), 1485 (w), 1450 (w), 1400 (m), 1380 (m), 1350 (m), 1240 (s), 1205 (vs), 1155 (s), 1120 (s), 1045 (s), 1030 (s), 980 (s), 830 (m), 810 (m), 715 (m), 705 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CCl<sub>4</sub>)  $\delta$  1.37 (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 6 H), 4.13 (dq,  $J =$ 7.2 and 7.2 Hz, 4 H), 5.92 (dd,  $J = 39.3$  and 2.8 Hz, 1 H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR  $(CCl<sub>4</sub>)$   $\delta$  -81.8 (t,  $J = 9.0$  Hz, 3 F), -103.6 (dm,  $J = 39.3$  Hz, 1 F),  $-118.3$  (br s, 2 F),  $-121.0$  to  $-124.3$  (m, 10 F),  $-126.7$  (br s, 2 F); <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.08. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{14}H_{11}F_{18}O_3P$ : C, 28.02; H, 1.85; F, 56.98. Found: C, 27.91; H, 1.95; F, 56.79.

Diethyl **(2)-1,ll-dihydryl-F-1-undecene-1-phosphonate**  (2e): 70% yield; IR (film) 2990 (m), 2945 (m), 1686 (m), 1482 (w), 1445 (w), 1394 (m), 1340 (m), 1250 (s), 1207 (vs), 1150 (vs), 1050 (s), 1020 (s), 970 (m), 827 (m), 800 (m), 729 (m) cm-l; 'H NMR  $(CCl<sub>4</sub>)$   $\delta$  1.37 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 6 H), 4.13 (dq,  $J = 7.5$  and 7.5 Hz, 4 H), 5.87 (dd,  $J = 40.5$  and 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.05 (tt,  $J = 54.5$  and 5.3 Hz, 1 H); <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  -102.3 (br d,  $J = 40.5$  Hz, 1 F), -118.3 (br s, 2 F), -122.1 to -122.9 (br m, 10 F), -123.7 (br s, 2 F), -129.7 (br s, 2 F), -137.5 (br d, J = 54.5 Hz, 2 F); 31P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.97. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>12</sub>F<sub>19</sub>O<sub>3</sub>P: C, 28.50; H, 1.91; F, 57.10. Found: C, 28.71; H, 2.13; F, 56.78.

## **The Bridgehead Decalin Radicals: An MM2 and MNDO Study**

Henry A. Kurtz,\* Roger V. Lloyd,\* and Richard Vaughan Williams\*

Department *of* Chemistry, Memphis State University, Memphis, Tennessee *<sup>38152</sup>*

Received July 28, 1986

### **Introduction**

ESR evidence has recently been presented' which in**dicates** the existance **of** distinguishable bridgehead radicals derived from *cis-* and trans-decalin. They are thought to be nonplanar at the radical sites, but considerably flattened from normal tetrahedral geometry. Chemical evidence of this was presented earlier by Bartlett et al.<sup>2</sup> and by Greene and Lowry.<sup>3</sup> Greene<sup>3</sup> studied the free radical decomposition of the cis- and trans-9-decalylcarbinyl hypochlorites **to** give the 9-chlorodecalins and deduced that two different 9-decalyl radical intermediates were required. They concluded that radicals which were either pyramidal or **planar**  at the radical sites could account for the observed results.

<sup>(10)</sup> How, **H.** 0. Acc. Chem. Res. **1976,9,59.** Ashby, E. **C.;** DePriest, (11) The formation of 1-butene has not been confirmed successfully. R. N.; Tuncay, A.; Srivastava, S. *Tetrahedron* Lett. **1982, 23, 5251.** 

**<sup>(12)</sup>** Tiers, G. V. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1964,29, 2038.** 

**<sup>(1)</sup>** Lloyd, R. V.; Williams, R. V. J. *Phys. Chem.* **1986, 89, 5379. (2)** Bartlett, P. D.; Pincock, R. E.; Rolston, J. H.; Schindel, W. G.; Singer, L. A. *J.* Am. *Chem.* **SOC. 1965,87, 2590.** 

**<sup>(3)</sup>** Greene, F. D.; Lowry, N. N. *J. Org. Chem.* **1967, 32, 875.** 

**Table I. Calculated Geometries and Heats of Formation for** *cis-* **and trans-Decalins"** 



molecule	method			bond lengths	angle				
		$C_9 - C_1$	$C_9 - C_8$	$C_9$ – $C_{10}$	$C_{10}$ -H	$C_q-H$	$C_8 - C_9 - C_1$	$\Delta H$	diff <sup>o</sup>
trans	MM2	1.538	1.538	1.541	1.117	1.117	111.3	$-43.76$	
<b>CIS</b>	MM2	1.536	1.539	1.546	1.118	1.118	114.1	$-35.84$	7.9
trans	<b>MNDO</b>	1.550	1.550	1.562	1.121	1.121	112.4	$-41.70$	
<b>CIS</b>	<b>MNDO</b>	1.548	1.553	1.563	1.121	1.122	113.4	$-37.59$	4.1

"Bond lengths are in angstroms, angles in degrees, and heats of formation in kcal/mol. <sup>b</sup>The calculated cis and trans energy difference.

**Table 11. Calculated Geometries and Heats of Formation for** *cis-* **and trans-Decalyl Radicals"** 

	method	bond lengths				angles				
radical		$C_9 - C_1$	$C_9 - C_8$	$\mathrm{C_{9} \text{--} C_{10}}$	$C_{10}$ –H	$C_8$ – $C_9$ – $C_1$	$\alpha^{\rm o}$	ΔН	diff	
trans	MM2	1.513	1.513	1.516	1.117	115.8	34.4	$-6.75$		
trans-planar	MM2	1.511	1.511	1.514	1.117	118.4	0.0	$-2.04$	4.71	
cis	MM2	1.512	1.512	1.514	1.117	117.1	34.8	$-5.94$	1.81	
cis-planar	MM2	1.505	1.507	1.510	1.117	119.9	0.0	$-0.91$	5.84	
trans	<b>MNDO</b>	1.506	1.504	1.511	1.124	117.6	8.2	$-21.90$		
trans-planar	<b>MNDO</b>	1.496	1.496	1.501	1.128	116.6	0.0	$-21.16$	0.74	
C18	<b>MNDO</b>	1.499	1.507	1.512	1.123	119.0	0.6	$-20.93$	0.97	
cis-planar	<b>MNDO</b>	1.495	1.487	1.509	1.125	120.6	0.0	$-20.44$	1.46	

<sup>a</sup> See footnotes for Table I. <sup>*b*</sup> $\alpha$  is defined as the angle between the C<sub>9</sub>-C<sub>10</sub> bond and the plane formed by C<sub>8</sub>-C<sub>9</sub>-C<sub>1</sub>.

It was pointed out that the radicals are after all derived from **cis** and trans precursors and that radical site planarity might not preclude conformational differences elsewhere. The chemical and spectroscopic evidence agrees on the prinicpal of distinguishable radicals, but the nature of the geometry at the radical sites is far from settled. Therefore, a theoretical study was initiated to predict structures for these radicals. Two approaches were chosen for this study: the empirical force-field MM24 and the semiempirical MNDO<sup>5</sup> methods.

The molecular mechanics program developed by Allinger (MM2) has recently been applied to alkyl radicals by Imam and Allinger.<sup>6</sup> The parameterization they proposed was based primarily on the results of ab initio calculations on simple alkyl radicals. Calculations were reported on the cyclohexyl radical, but no structural details were given beyond the fact that the chair conformation is the lowest in energy. The only tertiary radical studied was the tert-butyl radical. For this system the degree of nonplanarity  $\alpha^7$  was calculated to be 23.9°. This reproduces satisfactorily the 21.7° value of  $\alpha$  based on the data given in ref **6** for ab initio calculations and is in accord with experimental observations.<sup>8</sup>

The semiempirical MNDO method **has also** been applied to a large number of free radical systems with satisfactory results. Bischoff and Friedrich<sup>9</sup> concluded that the UHF-MNDO treatment gave good thermodynamic and structural results for a wide range of organic radicals. MNDO has also been shown to give better results than  $MINDO/3$  for radicals<sup>10</sup> and in some cases to be superior to minimum basis set ab initio calculations<sup>11</sup> and, therefore,

- 
- Z., Ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, in press.<br>
(9) Bischoff, P.; Friedrich, G. J.-Comput. Chem. 1982, 3, 486.<br>
(10) Dewar, M. J. S.; Thiel, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4907.

was chosen for this study along with the MM2 method.

# **Results and Discussion**

The MM2 and MNDO results for the structural parameters (bond distances and angles) at the radical site and the computed heats of formation are given in Tables **I** and I1 for the completely optimized molecules and radicals, as well **as** for the radicals constrained to planarity. The pyramidalization of the radical site is measured by the outof-plane angle  $\alpha$  defined as the angle between the bridging bond  $(C_9-C_{10})$  and the plane formed by atoms  $C_8-C_9-C_1$ .

The parent *cis-* and trans-decalin molecules have been studied by a combination of molecular mechanics and electron diffraction,<sup>12,13</sup> and the molecular parameters from the present calculations are in general agreement with these previous results. The calculated heats of formation should be compared with the measured values<sup>14</sup> of  $-40.43$ and -43.52 kcal/mol for *cis-* and trans-decalin, respectively. The experimental cis-trans energy difference is **3.09**  kcal/mol. The MNDO value for the energy difference is considerably better than the MM2 value, although both methods give the correct ordering.

A comparison of Tables I and **I1** reveals that the radicals are predicted to have considerably shortened carboncarbon bonds to the radical site and that the  $C_8-C_9-C_1$ bond angles are increased relative to the parent hydrocarbons. These effects are more pronounced with MNDO than with MM2. Both methods predict that the optimized trans radical is of lowest energy, but the cis-trans energy gap is larger with MM2 than with MNDO. The heats of formation from the MNDO calculations are much more negative than the MM2 results. This is consistent with earlier comparisons between MNDO calculations and experimental heats of formation for simple radical^.^ Imam and Allinger<sup>6</sup> used 12 different organic radicals to param-

**<sup>(4)</sup>** (a) Burkert, **U.;** Allinger, N. L. *Molecular Mechanics;* American Chemical Society: Washington, **DC, 1982.** (b) Allinger, **N.** L.; Yuh, Y. *QCPE* **1980, 12, 395.** 

**<sup>(5)</sup>** (a) Dewar, M. J. S.; Thiel, W. *J.* Am. Chem. *SOC.* **1977, 99, 4899.**  (b) Thiel, W. *Quantum Chemistry Program Ezchange, No.* **353. (6)** Imam, **M. R.;** Allinger, N. L. *J. Mol. Struct.* **1985, 126, 345.** 

<sup>(7)</sup> The degree of nonplanarity  $\alpha$  is defined as the angle between one of the C-C bonds and the plane formed by the other two C-C bonds. **(8)** Lloyd, R. V. In *Chemical Kinetics of Small Free Radicals,* Alfassi,

<sup>(11)</sup> Dewar, M. J. *S.;* Pakiari, A. H.; Pierini, A. B. *J. Am.* Chem. *SOC.*  **1982,104,3242.** 

**<sup>(12)</sup>** Van den Enden, L.; Geise, H. J. *J. Mol. Struct.* **1978, 44, 177. (13)** Baas, **J. M.** A,; van de Grad, B.: Tavernier, **D.;** Vanhee, P. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1981,103, 5014.** 

ganometallic Compounds; Academic: New York 1970; pp 173-174. **(14) Cox, J. D.;** Pilcher, G. *Thermochemistry of Organic and Or-* 



**Figure 1.** Optimized struotures for the trans-decalyl radical. The view is along the bridging bond from the radical site.

eterize their heat of formation calculations and were able to reproduce the experimental results by about **0.8**  kcal/mol. The reported error from the MNDO study of Bischoff and Friedrich<sup>9</sup> was about 12 kcal/mol, suggesting that the MM2 heats are closer to the actual values.

Previous **ESR** results' led to the conclusions that the trans radical was of lower energy and the higher energy cis radical interconverted to trans-decalin through a common planar radical transition structure. An attempt was made to locate such a planar species by constraining the radical sites to planarity while allowing full relaxation of all other parameters. Depending upon the choice of starting geometry (cis or trans) two different "planarized radicals resulted. Both of these planarized radicals were of higher energy than the fully relaxed radicals, and they are geometrically quite different (Table 11). The present calculations do not preclude direct equilibration between the cis and trans radicals, but as only cis to trans isomerization is observed<sup>1-3</sup> this hypothesis seems unlikely. Furthermore, radical species derived from trans-decalin must quench with hydrogen atoms to give only trans-decalin. This suggests that the cis to trans isomerization results from the quenching of a cis-derived radical species by hydrogen atom abstraction to give trans-decalin, the lowest energy species on the manifold.

The most important difference between the MM2 and MNDO results is in the optimized geometries. The MNDO optimized cis and trans radicals are both highly planarized  $(\alpha = 0.6^{\circ} \text{ and } 8.2^{\circ}, \text{ respectively}).$  The MM2 method predicts more pryamidal radical sites  $(\alpha = 34.8^{\circ})$ and 34.4°, respectively), somewhat intermediate between tetrahedral  $(55^{\circ})$  and planar  $(0^{\circ})$ . In comparing the results of Bischoff and Friedrich<sup>9</sup> and Imam and Allinger<sup>6</sup> for smaller organic radicals, it seems that the MNDO method gives radical sites that are too planar. It is possible that the energy changes are very small in the direction of the ring puckering, and, therefore, the calculated MNDO heats of formation will not change appreciably.

Examination of Figure 1 reveals that the overall ring structures for the trans radical *can* be regarded **as** retaining essentially chair-chair conformations in both calculations, although the MNDO geometry is "flatter" than the MM2 geometry. This is in agreement with previous studies which have noted that MNDO leads to structures with reduced puckering for cyclic systems.1° In contrast to the trans case, the two methods predict a major difference in the structure of the cis radical (Figure **2).** The MNDO geometry is considerably distorted to a chair-pseudoboat form, while the MM2 calculation predicts retention of a chair-chair structure. Because of the previously noted tendency of the MNDO method to produce radical sites that are too planar and rings that are too flat, the MM2 geometries are probably more realistic.

### **Conclusions**

This study indicates that two different conformations for the decalyl radical are possible, the more stable being



**CIS** 

**Figure 2.** Optimized structures for the cis-decalyl radical. The view is along the bridging bond from the radical site.

the one derived from trans-decalin. The fully optimized radical structures were located by both MM2 and MNDO methods starting with the parent *cis-* and trans-decalin parameters. Both radicals are predicted to be nonplanar at the radical site, and no evidence is found for a planar transition structure. Elucidation of the nature of the interconversion process would be an interesting topic for future study. In addition, the structure of the cis radical is still uncertain, and more demanding theoretical work is under way.

## **Stereoselective Reduction of y-Oxo-y-phenylbutanoic Acids**

R. Frenette,\*f **M.** Kakushima,' R. Zamboni,' R. N. Young,' and T. R. Verhoeven<sup>t</sup>

Merck Frosst Canada, Inc., Pointe Claire-Dorual, Quebec, Canada *H9R 4B8,* and Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, New Jersey **07065** 

#### Received June 10, 1986

In the course of research on selective receptor antagonists of leukotriene  $D_4$ , we were interested in devising an efficient and stereoselective synthesis of the *(RS,SR)-* yaryl-y -hydroxy-P-methylbutanoic acid moiety **2** contained in the desired product,<sup>1</sup> that is, where the  $\beta$ -methyl and the  $\gamma$ -hydroxy groups are erythro.<sup>2</sup>



A logical method for the preparation of these  $\gamma$ -hy $d$ roxy- $\beta$ -methyl acids was through a stereoselective reduction of the corresponding y-oxobutanoic acids **1.** Although the erythro-selective reduction of  $\alpha$ -substituted  $\beta$ -oxo acid derivatives is well documented,<sup>3</sup> there are few examples of erythro-selective  $\gamma$ -keto ester or acid reduction.<sup>4</sup> Initial attempts to obtain the desired  $(RS, SR)$ - $\gamma$ aryl- $\gamma$ -hydroxy- $\beta$ -methylbutanoic acids were discouraging. A ratio of 70:30 in favor of the desired isomers were obtained with sodium borohydride (as potentially a reagent which could deliver hydride from an internal complex) while  $\rm Na (CH_3O CH_2CH_2O)_2AlH_2$  (Vitride) showed a ratio of *5050.* Reduction of **lb** methyl ester using several reagents known to stereoselectively reduce  $\alpha$ -substituted  $\beta$ -oxo acid derivatives was not more successful. For example, the hydrosilane-based reduction<sup>3e,5</sup> and zinc boro-

Pointe Claire-Dorval, Quebec. \* **Rahway,** NJ.